

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/510,377	08/02/95	osusu		64/PD-4489 EXAMINER
SPENSLEY HORN JUBAS 1880 CCNTURY PARK EA LOS ANGELES CÁ 9006		25M1/1213 LUSITZ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		FIFTH FLOOR	2516 DATE MAILED:	2
	on from the examiner in PATENTS AND TRAD	charge of your application. EMARKS		12/13/95
☐ This application h	as been examined	Responsive to communication filed on		This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133				
Part I THE FOLLOW	VING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		- 114
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review PTO-948. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6.				
Part II SUMMARY	OF ACTION	·		
1. Staims		6		_ are pending in the application.
Of the a	bove, claims		are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ have been cancelled.
3.				_ are allowed.
4. Claims		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_ are rejected.
5. Claims				_ are objected to.
6. Glaims	1-6		are subject to restrictio	n or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
8. Formal drawin	gs are required in resp	onse to this Office action.		
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).				
		sheet(s) of drawings, filed onaminer (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed	drawing correction, file	d, has been □appro	oved; Ddisapproved	(see explanation).
12. Acknowledgen	nent is made of the clai n parent application, se	m for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified rial no; filed on;	d copy has been re	eceived Anot been received
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		in condition for allowance except for formal matt c parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ters, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in
14. Other				

Serial Number: 08/510377

Art Unit: 2516

- Y

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: There are at least 4 distinct species disclosed in this application, in the specification between pages 14-29. The distinct species are illustrated by figures 1,5,6 and 8A.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

-3-

Serial Number: 08/510377

Art Unit: 2516

- 2. A telephone call was not made to applicant, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, because of the complexity of the restriction requirement.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD EDUN whose telephone number is (703) 308-1550.

M.EDUN December 10, 1995 Muhammad N. Edun Patent Examiner Group 2500